UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,298	12/16/2003	John L. Kemper	037607-0251	7677
34099 FANN-MKE C	7590 08/03/200 VO	EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LAR			HAMILTON, LALITA M	
	CONSIN AVENUE , WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/737,298	KEMPER ET AL Art Unit 3691 N/A. of an agreement ing features over ore clarified. The over clarified and the over the				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Lalita M. Hamilton</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Matthew Swietlik</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>30 July 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claims of record</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the invention and distinguishing features over the prior art of record. The Examiner attempted to point out limitations in the claims that could be more clarified. The Applicant will file a formal response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Lalita M Hamilton/						